

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINES INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

File No: MP/ Satna/Lst.-49 4185 704/88 JABALPUR, DATED: 6/08/2015

To Shri D.Roy, Agent.

M/S Birla Corporation Ltd.

Satna Cement Works

PO. Birla Vikas, Satna.

Dist. Satna (MP) 485005

Subject: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 1988 in

respect of your Sagmania Limestone Mine, over an area of 852.50 Hectares in

Satna district of M.P.

Sir,

The following provision: of the Mineral Conservation & Development (Amendment) Rules 2011 were found violated in your above mine during MCDR

inspection of above mine on 15/07/2015 by the undersigned along with you.

nspection	of above mine on 15/07/2015 by the undersigned along with you.
Rule 13(1)	According to this rule every holder of a mining lease shall carry out mining operations in the mine in accordance with the approved scheme of mining/mining plan. The scheme of mining for this mine was approved vide letter no 314(3)/2011-MCCM(CZ)/S-17 dt 18/11/2011 for a period up to 2015-16 i) Proposal site of working:- It was proposed to work in grid N1600 S 600 fourth-fifth year of Scheme of Mining but lessee has gone beyond this. ii) Boundary pillars were not made as per CCOM circular 2/2010. No latitude & longitude were given. Boundary pillar. None of Boundary pillars was numbered. iii) Exploration:- It was proposed to drill 20 boreholes but lessee drilled only 16 boreholes. Further From J were not filled up correctly.
Rule 42	A full time geologist having 10 years' experience were not appointed.
Rule 45(5)	The annual return submitted by the lessee for the year 2013-14 was submitted are following:- i) Part III of AR was not filled up and enclosed in the AR. ii) Part III A capital structure was not enclosed. iii) Details of depreciation and overhead cost was not given but is cost of mining cost of depreciation and overhead cost was given iv) The cost of mining stated in the AR of 2012-13 and 2013-14 remains the same which is not possible. v) The sale value of limestone at pit head was less than cost of mining which is again not possible.

2. In this connection it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under rule 58 of MCDR, 1988

3. Non-compliance of provisions of rule 13(1) of MCDR 1988 attracts the provisions of violation of rule 13(1) of MCDR, 1988 and may result in imposition of the provisions under rule 13(2) resulting into suspension of mining operation till compliance of the above provisions of MCDR 1988.

4. You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (forty-five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours' faithfully,

9c

(R.R. Dongre)

Deputy Controller of Mines

Indian Bureau of Mines

Copy for information to: -

 The Director, Directorate of Geology & Mining, Khanij Bhavan, Arera Hills, Bhopal. (MP), for information.

2. The District Collector Satna Dist. Satna (MP).

70

Deputy Controller of Mine Indian Bureau of Mines

6-8-15